

Minutes of the meeting on presentation by the consultant M/s Scott Wilson India Ltd. on Revised Interim Report-I of Sub-Region Plan of Haryana Sub-Region of NCR held on 22.01.10 at 12.15 PM under the chairmanship of Director, Town and Country Planning, Haryana.

The list of participants is enclosed at Annexure-I.

At the outset, Sh. T.C. Gupta, IAS, Director, Town and Country Planning Department, Haryana welcomed the participants. A brief background about award of study/time schedule was given to the participants. Sh. A. K. Maitra, Team Leader on behalf of consultant made a presentation on Interim Data Report-I.

2. Director, Town and Country Planning, Haryana pointed out that the projected population of 31.9 lacs of Gurgaon given in the Interim Report does not seem realistic as the Final Development Plan of Gurgaon-Manesar Urban Complex envisages a population of 37 lacs for the perspective year-2021. As per the recent survey conducted by M.C. Gurgaon, the present population of Gurgaon is 12 lacs. The figures given in the report need to be reassessed and reconciled.

DTCP further pointed out that the boundaries of the maps given in the Interim Report are not correct. The towns of Haryana like Tohana, Loharu etc. have been shown outside the State boundary. Similarly, the boundary of the map of India in the State of J&K is incorrect and highly objectionable. These boundaries should be corrected.

It was further pointed out by DTCP that three years back HUDA awarded a study to M/s Scott Wilson India Ltd. for the development of sixteen villages falling in the urbanizable area of Gurgaon Development Plan. The study, though, was later on withdrawn due to poor progress of the consultant, yet the same should have been consulted while finalizing the Sub-Regional Plan.

He also pointed out that the data related to Power is inadequate. The consultant has not taken the projections for 2021. They must contact the Power Department and get their projected demand and their future plans and the same should be considered while preparing the Sub-Regional

Plan. It was further pointed out that the Government of Haryana is considering to develop a cargo port in Haryana. The consultant should get a plan from the Civil Aviation Department and incorporate the same in the Sub-Regional Plan.

3. Chief Administrator, HUDA pointed out that the consultant should take care of the work-place relationship while formulating the proposals which would definitely reduce the time, energy and resources. He also stressed that the missing data regarding Power should be reflected in the report and the consultant should consult the Power Department for getting their future plans. He stressed that the concerned Departments should go through the reports of the consultant and give their valuable views/suggestions.

4. The Chief Conservator of Forest pointed out that the Eco-Sensitive Zones notified by the Ministry of Environment and Forest Government of India have not been taken into consideration while finalizing the report. The data pertaining to the forest area in the State does not seem realistic. The consultant should use the latest satellite imageries for depicting the forest area on the base map. The consultant informed that the forest area shown on the base map is as per the information/plans received from the Forest Department.

5. Chief Coordinator Planner (NCR) pointed out that in the last meeting held on 15.09.2009, the representative of the NCRPB suggested that the perspective year of the Sub-Regional Plan should be 2031. Later on a clarification in this regard was also received from NCRPB and the consultant was accordingly informed to prepare the Sub-Regional Plan for the perspective year 2031. But the Interim Report-I still indicates the perspective year 2021.

The consultant informed that the Sub-Regional Plan is to be prepared in consonance with the proposals and guidelines of the Regional Plan – 2021. Since the Regional Plan - 2021 itself indicates the perspective year 2021 then the Sub-Regional Plan cannot be prepared for the

perspective year 2031. The chairman informed that this issue would be decided later on.

6. The Chief Engineer, Department of Irrigation informed that NCRPB is conducting a study on water management for the NCR through M/s WAPCOS. The report of WAPCOS may be consulted and the figures given in the Sub-Regional Plan should coincide with that report. It was also pointed out by him that in the WAPCOS study report, the water demand for Delhi and NCR has been forecasted as 109 ltrs. per capita per day. These figures should also be considered and reacted upon in the Sub-Regional Plan.

7. Chief Town Planner, HUDA pointed out that the conservation zones proposed in the KMP Global Corridor Plan by the same consultant should also be taken care of while preparing the Sub-Regional Plan. He also pointed out that the parallel highways suggested by the consultant in this report should have feasible alignments. The consultant should propose these highways after conducting feasibility study.

8. Chief Town Planner, HSIIDC pointed out that there is no development strategy given in the Interim Report for the area falling in Haryana Sub Region of DMIC. This area is substantially potential for industrial activities. He further pointed out that the report nowhere suggests the strategy for tapping of available resources. The HSIIDC has developed large chunk of areas for industrial activities and it further has very ambitious future plans. The consultant has not consulted the HSIIDC and taken into consideration the future plans of the organization.

9. The representative of Environment Department pointed out that smaller water bodies should also be accommodated and conserved.

After detailed deliberations, the committee decided that the consultant will consider the issues raised by various Departments and will submit the Interim Report-II on Sub Regional Plan within one month.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.

Annexure-I**List of Participants**

Sr. No.	Name and Designation	Department
1	Sh. D.P.S. Naggal, IAS, Chief Administrator	HUDA
1.	Dilbag Singh, CTP	HSIIDC
2	J.S. Redhu, CTP	HUDA
3	Radhey Shyam, SO-I	Environment Department
4	M.S. Sangwan, IFS, C.F.(C), RTK	Forest Department
5	S.S. Jattan, Chief Conservator of Forest	Forest Department
6	Vineet Garg, Conservator of Forest, Panchkula	Forest
7	Jitender Sihag, DTP(NCR)	Town and Country Planning Department
8	V.S. Raparia, Addl. Director, Agriculture	Agriculture
9	S.L. Aggarwal, CE	Irrigation
10	Sujana Ram, SE	PHED, Haryana, Panchkula
11	Naresh Kumar, ATP(NCR)	Town and Country Planning Department
12	Dr. P.K. Bhuyan, Urban Planner	Scott Wilson India
13	Prof. A.K. Maitra, Team Leader	Scott Wilson India
14	Prabhakar, KL	Scott Wilson India